University Medical Center, Utrecht, the NL

Identification and delivery of the late IUGR fetus

Gerard H.A. Visser

Term IUGR/SFD

Many screening and diagnostic tests do not work properly

(and that holds especially for Doppler umbilical artery)



Moreover, IUGR is not accompanied by maternal hypertensive disease

Interval Doppler – FHR changes



(Arduini; Bekedam; Hecher; Pal)

Interval Doppler – FHR changes



(Arduini; Bekedam; Hecher; Pal)

Why does Doppler not work near term?

- Abnormal Dopplers in umbilical artery only occur in case of a 30-50% reduction of placental function/ capacity.

- Early in pregnancy the small fetus can live on $\frac{1}{2}$ a placenta,

- Late in pregnancy the fetus cannot

Term IUGR/SFD

Many screening and diagnostic tests do not work properly

(and that holds especially for Doppler umbilical artery)



Moreover, most late IUGR are not small-for-dates

FIGURE Risk of IUFD by gestational age

Nationwide data USA 2005



IUFD, intrauterine fetal death.

Pilliod. The risk of intrauterine fetal death in the SGA fetus. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2012.

Stillbirth, weight and gestational age





Gardosi et al, BJOG 1998; 45% weight< 10th centile

Perinatal mortality >+36 wks, Nlds 2000-2008



Perinatal mortality >= 36 wks



Birth weight percentile

Perinatal mortality >= 36 wks



Antepartum stillbirth as compared to delivery related perinatal death at term, Scotland



Fig. 1. Absolute risk per 10,000 pregnancies (95% binomial confidence intervals) of term perinatal death by birth weight percentile: **A.** antepartum stillbirth and **B.** delivery-related perinatal death (ie, intrapartum stillbirths and neonatal deaths). *Moraitis. Birth Weight Percentile and Perinatal Death at Term. Obstet Gynecol 2014.*

VOL. 124, NO. 2, PART 1, AUGUST 2014 Moraitis et al Birth Weight Percentile and Perinatal Death at Term 277



Cerebral Palsy and birthweight centiles



Yarvis et al, 2006

So, for short term survival

- Birth weight should be around the 90th centile
- 'The bigger the better'
- Why are 90% of infants born too small?
- Or, why is.....

Or: why is human fetal growth restrained below optimal for fetal survival?





constitute a major challenge for vaginal delivery* *Trevathan et al, Evolutionary Medicine 189, 1999

Large fetal head

Infant's death following maternal death

RR infant deathEthiopia; mat death42d after delivery46 (25.9-81.9)Rural South Africa15.2 (8.3-27.9)Rural Tanzania, child death540.7% versus 7.9%

Houle B et al; Finley JE et al; Moucheraud et al, Reprod. Health 2015

Mother versus father

The battle between the sexes

- Most paternally expressed genes enhance placental growth, while most maternally expressed genes reduce placental size (Tycko & Morison, 2002)
- Hydatidiform Mola: diploid set of sperm-only DNA, with all chromosomes having a sperm patterned methylation, results in overgrowth of the syncytiotrophoblast, in contrast to the dual-egg patterned methylation type (Paoloni-Giacobino 2007)

Mono versus polymyscus



Dawson, W. D. (1965). "Fertility and Size Inheritance in a Peromyscus Species Cross." Evolution

So, for short term survival

- Birth weight should be around the 90th centile
- 'The bigger the better'

But, what about long term outcome

Birth weight and death due to cardiovascular disease <65 y of age



Osmond et al, BMJ 1993

Chronic Heart Disease and Stroke in relation to birth weight

TABLE 2. Rates of CHD and Stroke by Birth-Weight Category Distribution

	Rate per 10 000 (95% CI) by Birth-Weight Category					
	<3250 g (n=4052)	3250–3749 g (n=5305)	3750–4249 g (n=1199)	≥4250 g (n=247)	Sex-Adjusted HR (95% CI) per kg (n=10 803)	HR (95% CI) per Birth Weight for Sex and Gestational Age z Score (n=9700)
CHD	15.0 (12.7–17.9)	11.9 (10.1–14.2) <	7.2 (4.6-11.6)	7.4 (2.8–26.2)	0.63 (0.51–0.78) <i>P</i> <0.001	0.83 (0.73–0.94) <i>P</i> =0.004
Stroke	7.0 (5.5–9.1)	3.2 (2.4–4.5)	1.9 (0.8–5.6) 🤇	1.8 (0.26-13.0)	0.41 (0.29–0.59) <i>P</i> <0.001	0.74 (0.60–0.92) <i>P</i> =0.007
CHD or stroke	21.1 (18.3–24.4)	14.9 (12.8–17.3) 🤇	9.0 (6.2–13.8)	9.2 (3.9–27.3)	0.57 (0.47–0.69) <i>P</i> <0.001	0.81 (0.73–0.91) <i>P</i> <0.001
n=10 803.						

Lawlor et al, Circulation 2005

So, for short and long term survival

- Birth weight should be around the 90th centile
- Why?

So, for short and long term survival

- Birth weight should be around the 90th centile
- Why?
- Because these infants had an optimal intrauterine growth, without any growth restraint

(interim) Conclusion

- So, it is not only the very small ones that are at increased risk
- In fact, most IUDs occur in fetuses with a weight in the so-called normal range
- Which makes identification even more difficult
- So, it is time for an integrated risk assessment, including trends in fetal weight estimates, signs of blood flow redistribution and maternal characteristics

Perinatal mortality >= 36 wks



Birth weight percentile

Incidence of fetal growth restriction (abnormal CP ratio) according to birth weight centiles



Figure 3 Percentage of term fetuses with failure to reach growth potential (FRGP) according to their birth weight (BW) centile group (i.e. percentage of fetuses presenting a cerebroplacental ratio (CPR) multiple of the median (MoM) value below the established FRGP normality threshold (CPR MoM = 0.6765), calculated after subtracting those cases with CPR MoM < 5th centile observed in the group with BW > 90th centile). Appropriate-for-gestational-age (AGA) fetuses present a progressive decrease of CPR, which is especially important in the group with BW < 25th centile. *Chi-square test plus Holm's correction for multiple comparisons.

Morales-Rosello et al, UOG 2014

CS and acidosis according to redistribution or not



Fig. 2. Frequency of intrapartum cesarean delivery, emergency cesarean for nonreassuring fetal status, and neonatal acidosis in controls and small-for-gestational age (SGA) fetuses with and without decreased cerebroplacental ratio. *P<.05 with control participants the reference group; *P<.01 among SGA cases.

Cruz-Martínez. Brain Doppler and Fetal Status in Small-for-

The term fetus at risk

Redistribution as a proxie for placental impairment?

CPR at 36 wks, and birth weight Z score and C.sections for fetal distress;

(Akolekar et al, Ultras O&G, 2015; screening of >6.000 singletons)

Third-trimester fetal Doppler in screening for adverse perinatal outcome



7

Figure 3 Relationship between log_{10} multiples of the median (MoM) cerebroplacental ratio (CPR) and birth-weight Z-score in pregnancies delivering by Cesarean section for fetal distress (•) and those delivering vaginally (•) ≤ 2 weeks (a) or > 2 weeks (b) following assessment. Vertical red line corresponds to 10th percentile for birth weight and horizontal red line corresponds to 5th percentile for CPR.

Prediction of IUGR and adverse outcome by feto-placental Doppler at 37 wks

Stefania Triunfo.....Fransesc Figueras, Palermo April 15, 2016

- Low risk cohort of 1000 women
- Measured everything at 37 wks
- Adverse Outcome: 35 in AGA, 5 in SGA & 6 in FGR

- Prediction of Adverse Outcome: 29% for 10% FPR
- (EFW centile+CRP+UVBF, +Ut-API?)

42 SFD monitored longitudinally

- CPR at intake (34-36wks) no prediction of composite morbidity
- However, change from normal to abnormal showed some correlation

(Vasak et al, in prep)

Biophysical screening tests

- Early identification is essential
 - Customized growth charts
 - Doppler uterine artery?
 - Umbilical/MCA Doppler ratio
 - Serial fetal growth measurements?
 - Measure of autonomic FHR control
 - Fetal movements !
 - Unlikely to be useful: serial AF assessment, FHR monitoring

Cumulative stillbirth risk according to ut artery PI at 19-23 wks



Singh et al, O & G, 2012

Risk factors for 3rd trimester stillbirth

- IUGR/SFD
- Age>35
- BMI>25
- Education<10 y

7.0 (3.3-15.1)
4.1 (1.0-16.5)
4.7 (1.7-10.2)
3.4 (1.2-9.6)

• IUGR/BMI>25

71 (14-350) univariate OR

OR multivariate

Froen, Gardosi et al, 2004 ; 76 SIUD, 582 controls

In this context, it is good to know, that...

• The risk of a term IUFD in a nulliparous 36 years old woman is greater than the risk of her having a child with a chromosomal anomaly

Risk factors for stillbirth;

multivariable analysis (Gardosi et al, 2013)

- Parity 0
- African/Indian/Pakistani
- BMI>35
- Pre-existing diabetes
- Antepartum haemorrhage
- Active smoker no FGR
- Active smoker FGR
- Non-smoker FGR

Adjusted RR 1.8 (1.3-2.5) 2.3-3.0 1.6(1.1-2.4)3.9 (1.7-8.9) 3.4(2.6-4.5)2.5 (1.7-3.6) 5.7 (3.6-8.9) 7.8 (5.6-10.9)
Structured information on fetal movements at 18 wks

- More than 50% reduction in IUFD in nulliparous women (OR 0.36, 95%CI 0.19-0.69)
- No change in multiparous women, smokers, obese women, maternal age >34 y, foreigners



IUGR contingency screening

• Combined screening at 11-13 wks

- (history, MAP, UtPI, PLGF, PAPP-A)
- High Risk (20%) Low Risk (80%)
- Aspirin

• Combined screening at 22 wks

- (UtPI, Umb aPI, MAP, serum PLGF/sFLT-1)
- High Risk (...%) Moderate Risk (...%) Low Risk (...%)

Low Risk (...%)

• See every 2 wks

Combined screening at 32 weeks

- (UtPI, MAP, serum PIGF, ultras customised fetal weight)
- High Risk (...%)

• See every 1 wk: fetal growth velocity, CPR nothing else

Stillbirth rate in relation to FGR



Gardosi et al, BMJ 2013; population based study, 389 stillbirths>24 wks (0.42%)

Mid and 3rd trimester screening for SGA

 Screening at 19-23 wks, using mat factors, fetal biometry, UtA PI, PIGF and AFP : Detection rate SGA< 5th centile for 10% FPR:

<32 wks	32-36	>36wks
88%	66%	43%

94%

65%

 Screening at 30-34 wks, using mat factors, EFW, UtA PI, MAP, PIGF
Detection rate SGA < 5th centile for 10% FPR:

Poon et al and Bakalis et al, Ultrasound O&G 2015

DIGITAT study



DIGITAT study

	Induction	Expect man
Ν	321	329
CS	14 %	13.7%
Birthweight<3 rd cent	12.5%	30.6%
Birthweight>25 th c	7.2%	6.1%
PNMortality	_	_
Composite Morbidity	y 5.3%	6.1%

Boers et al BMJ 2010;341;c7087

FIGURE 4

Gestational age at randomization vs percentage of neonates with a positive MAIN score



MAIN, Morbidity Assessment Index for Newborns.

Boers. Neonatal morbidity in the disproportionate intrauterine growth intervention trial at term. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2012.

Timing of delivery of the IUGR/SGA fetus

- < 26 wks
- 26-30 wks
- 30-32 wks
- 32-34 wks
- 34-37 wks
- >37 wks
- >38+ wks

Refrain from intervention Abn DV and/or STV/decelerations same or reversed EDV umb a same or absent EDV umb a same or abn umb a PI same or EFW<3rd c,CPR>95th c same or EFW< 10th centile

Adapted from Figueras & Gratacos, 2014

So,.....

- These are exciting times for all those studying late IUGR
- Diagnosis of SGA is insufficient
- Diagnosis of true (late) IUGR remains difficult
- Assessment may include:

- monitoring trends in fetal growth
- Ut artery
- CP ratio
- What will be the timing of the scan(s)?
- Finally, be aware of false positives and unnecessary interventions

"I am a fetus in the womb I fear it may become my tomb if only I could give a shout to get my doctor to get me out!"

a British Medical Student

Thank you

Perinatal mortality singletons vs twins



Vasak et al, AJOG in press

Perinatal mortality singletons vs twins



Vasak et al, AJOG in press

Cochrane: induction vs expectant managemen

37-40 wks

>41 wks

> 42 wks

Study or subgroup	Induction n/N	Expectant n/N	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl	Weight	Pisk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl
1 37-40 completed weeks					
Breart 1982	19/481	16/235		63.6 %	0.58 [0.30, 1.11]
Cole 1975	5/111	9/117		26.5 %	0.59 [0.20, 1.69]
Egarter 1989	2/188	3/168		9.9 %	0'eo [0' 10' 7' 5]
Subtotal (95% CI)	780	520	•	100.0 %	0.58 [0.34, 0.99]
Total events 26 (Induction), 28	(Expectant)				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.0$; Chi ²	2 = 0.00, df = 2 (P =	1.00); I ² =0.0%			
Test for overall effect Z = 2.01	(P = 0.045)				
Augensen 1987	14/214	20/195		6.3 %	0.64[0.33, 1.23]
Chakravarti 2000	29/114	20/117		9.3 %	1.49 [0.90, 2.47]
Chanrachkul 2003	33/124	27/125	+	11.3 %	1.23 [0.79, 1.92]
Dyson 1987	22/152	41/150	-	10.6 %	0.53 [0.33, 0.84]
Gelisen 2005	58/300	66/300	-	17.2 %	0.88 [0.64, 1.20]
Hannah 1992	360/1701	418/1706	-	30,9 %	0.86 [0.76, 0.98]
Henry 1969	0/55	1/57		0.3 %	0.35 [0.01, 8.30]
James 2001	2/37	4/37		1.2 %	0.50 [0.10, 2.56]
Martin 1989	2/12	1/10		Q.6 %	1.67 [0.18, 15.80]
NICHHD 1994	39/174	32/175	+	12.3 %	1.23 [0.81, 1.6, 1
Subtotal (95% CI)	2883	2872	4	100.0 %	0.92 [0.76, 1.12]
Total events 559 (Induction), 63	30 (Expectant)				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.03$; Chi Tart for consult affacts $Z = 0.84$	P = 15.36, df = 9 (P P = 0.400	= 0.08); I ² =41%			
2.42 completed weeks	(r = 0.40)				
Bergsjo 1989	27/94	39/94	-	28.1 %	0.69 [0.46, 1.03]
Herabutya 1992	27/57	24/51	–	28.0 %	1.01 [0.68, 1.50]
Ocon 1997	10/57	3/56	<u> </u>	4.3 %	3.27 [0.95, 11.28]
Roach 1997	16/96	18/105	+	15.0 %	0.97 [0.53, 1.80]
					(Continued)
Study or subgroup	Induction	Expectant	Risk Ratio	Weight	Füsk: Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% CI		M-H,Random,95% CI
Witter 1987	30/103	27/97	+	24.7 %	1.05 [0.67, 1.53]
Subtotal (95% CI)	407	403	+	100.0 %	0.97 [0.72, 1.31]
Total events 110 (Induction), III (Expectant)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.04; Chi ² = 6.58, df = 4 (P = 0.16); I ² = 39%					
Test for overall effect $Z = 0$	120 (P = 0.84)				
			0.01 0.1 1 10 100		
			Favours induction Favours expectant		
			-		

Magnitude of fetal death;

singletons without cong malformations

- population stillbirths Author country (y) % ightarrow
- Pilliod USA 2005 3.400k 13.829 0.4% \bullet Vasak NL 2000-8 1.200k 5.048 \bullet
- Gardosi UK 2011 92k 389 \bullet
- 0.35% (>28wks) 0.42%

Magnitude of fetal death;

3.400k

singletons without cong malformations

- Author country (y) population stillbirths %
- Pilliod USA 2005
- Vasak NL 2000-8
- Gardosi UK 2011





0.4%

13.829

Magnitude of fetal death;

singletons without cong malformations

- population stillbirths Author country (y) % \bullet
- Pilliod USA 2005 3.400k 13.829 0.4% \bullet 4.119
- Vasak NL 2000-8
- Gardosi UK 2011

1.170k 92k

389

0.35% (>28wks) 0.42%

Newcastle upon Tyne (>28 wks):			
	1961-1980	2.34%	
	1981-2000	0.47%	
Glinianaia et al. 2010			

Stillbirth in relation to Perinatal death

Dutch data 2000-2008, >28wks

Antepartum death Intrapartum death Neonatal death



Vasak et al, U O&G, 2015



CTG-2







Gr1 P0, 1.66 cm, 95 kg BMI 34.5



Gr1 P0, 1.66 cm, 95 kg BMI 34.5



Present and Old Dutch birth weight charts



Visser et al, Early Hum Dev, 2009



Visser et al, 2014

Optimal fetal growth

- Fetal growth and weight charts imply that a weight < 10th or > 90th centile identify infants at risk for adverse outcome
- In between the 10th and 90th centile growth/weight is considered normal
- And a weight at the 50th centile is supposed to be optimal.
- But does that hold true?



On optimal fetal growth: Which birth weight centiles are associated with the lowest perinatal mortality

- Perinatal deaths in the Netherlands (PRN)
- All singletons 2000-2008
- No major malformations
- 28-42 weeks

• N=1.170.127 PNM 5.048 (0.4%)

Vasak et al, Ultrasound O&G, 2015

Perinatal mortality >= 36 wks



1342 Stillbirths > 28 wks gestation; UK





Glinianaia et al, Paed Perinatal Epidemiol 2010; 24:331-42

Perinatal mortality in relation to birth weight. Nationwide data Norway 1980-1995



Figure 2 Birthweight-specific mortality before (A) and after (B) adjustment to a relative birthweight scale for Pakistani and Norwegian births, Norway 1980–1995

Vangen et al, Int J Epidemiol 2002

ULTRASOUND in Obstetrics & Gynecology



Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2015; 45: 162–167 Published online in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com). DOI: 10.1002/uog.14644

Human fetal growth is constrained below optimal for perinatal survival

B. VASAK*, S. V. KOENEN*, M. P. H. KOSTER*, C. W. P. M. HUKKELHOVEN†, A. FRANX*, M. A. HANSON‡ and G. H. A. VISSER*

*Department of Obstetrics, University Medical Center Utrecht, Lundlaan, Utrecht, The Netherlands; †The Netherlands Perinatal Registry, Mercatorlaan, Utrecht, The Netherlands; ‡Institute of Developmental Sciences and NIHR Nutrition Biomedical Research Centre, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

KEYWORDS: birth weight; fetal growth; maternal constraint; perinatal mortality; perinatal survival

ABSTRACT

Objective The use of fetal growth charts assumes that the optimal size at birth is at the 50^{th} birth-weight centile, but interaction between maternal constraints on fetal growth and the risks associated with small and large fetal size at birth may indicate that this assumption is not valid for perinatal mortality rates. The objective of

growth. This finding is consistent with adaptations that have evolved in humans in conjunction with a large head and bipedalism, to reduce the risk of obstructed delivery. These data also fit remarkably well with those on long-term adult cardiovascular and metabolic health risks, which are lowest in cases with a birth weight around the 90th centile. Copyright © 2014 ISUOG. Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

Mother versus father

The battle between the sexes

Question: what do we know on the effect of the father on fetal/placental growth?

On Optimal fetal weight: what about the placenta?

Only with a fetal weight around the 90th centile, all placentas were found to be normal

Mecacci et al, Firenze (It); presented in Palermo on May 30, 2014 (Highlights on stillbirth and maternal mortality conference)

So, for short and long term survival

- Birth weight should be around the 90th centile
- And that also holds for weight at age 1-2
- But prevent a rapid weight gain in between the ages of 2 and 7

Birthweight, Infant growth & Type-2 diabetes



(Eriksson et al, Diab Care 2003; 26: 2006-10)
Birthweight, Infant growth & Type-2 diabetes



(Eriksson et al, Diab Care 2003; 26: 2006-10)

Optimal fetal growth

• Conflict of interest ?





Gerry, 2+ years



Gerry, 7+ years

whet do lives however see have printed and the blands, pro-

Customized assessment of growth

- Charts based on optimal fetal weight at term
- Taking into account: maternal height
 - weight in early pregnancy
 - ethnic origin
 - parity
- Exclusion of factors that effect optimal growth (e.g. smoking)

(Gardosi et al, 2005)

SGA customized versus population



(Clausson et al, BJOG 2001; 108: 830-834)

Customized antenatal growth chart



(Gardosi et al, 2005)

Screening for fetal growth restriction with universal third trimester ultrasonography in nulliparous women in the Pregnancy Outcome Prediction (POP) study: a prospective cohort study Lancet, 2015

Ulla Sovio, Ian RWhite, Alison Dacey, Dharmintra Pasupathy, Gordon C S Smith

Findings Between Jan 14, 2008, and July 31, 2012, 4512 women provided written informed consent of whom 3977 (88%) were eligible for analysis. Sensitivity for detection of SGA infants was 20% (95% CI 15–24; 69 of 352 fetuses) for selective ultrasonography and 57% (51–62; 199 of 352 fetuses) for universal ultrasonography (relative sensitivity $2 \cdot 9$, 95% CI $2 \cdot 4 - 3 \cdot 5$, p<0.0001). Of the 3977 fetuses, 562 (14.1%) were identified by universal ultrasonography with an estimated fetal weight of less than the 10th percentile and were at an increased risk of neonatal morbidity (relative risk [RR] $1 \cdot 60$, 95% CI $1 \cdot 22 - 2 \cdot 09$, p= $0 \cdot 0012$). However, estimated fetal weight of less than the 10th percentile was only associated with the risk of neonatal morbidity ($p_{interaction}=0.005$) if the fetal abdominal circumference growth velocity was in the lowest decile (RR $3 \cdot 9$, 95% CI $1 \cdot 9 - 8 \cdot 1$, p= $0 \cdot 0001$). 172 (4%) of 3977 pregnancies had both an estimated fetal weight of less than the 10th percentile and abdominal circumference growth velocity in the lowest decile, and had a relative risk of delivering an SGA infant with neonatal morbidity of $17 \cdot 6$ ($9 \cdot 2 - 34 \cdot 0$, $p < 0 \cdot 0001$).

Interpretation Screening of nulliparous women with universal third trimester fetal biometry roughly tripled detection of SGA infants. Combined analysis of fetal biometry and fetal growth velocity identified a subset of SGA fetuses that were at increased risk of neonatal morbidity.

Neonatal morbidity in SGA infants



Figure 2: Stratified analyses of the risk of the neonatal composite adverse outcome associated with diagnosis of small-for-gestational-age infants

Sovio et al, Lancet, 2015

Third trimester low growth velocity in AGA fetuses

- Estimated fetal weight > 10th centile at 32-36 wks; n=1004
- Subgroup with subsequent low growth velocity (<10th decile; est. fetal weight at 32-36 wks in comparison to birth weight)

Parra-Saavedra et al, ISUOG, Montreal, Oct 2015



Smoking and stillbirth; (Gardosi et al, 2013)

Table 2| Smoking and fetal growth restriction (birth weight <10th gestation related optimal weight centile)

0

Variables	Proportion of total (%)	Stillbirth rate/1000 births	
All	100.0	4.2	
Smokers:	18.7	5.8	
Fetal growth restriction	4.3	4.3 13.0	
No fetal growth restriction	13.7	3.7	
Non-smokers:	81.3	3.8	
Fetal growth restriction	8.3	18.3	
No fetal growth restriction	68.7	2.1	

(Similar data by Moraitis et al, 2014)

Antepartum stillbirth in relation to BW cent



Fig. 2. Univariate analysis of the association between birth weight percentile and the risk of antepartum stillbirth ascribed to each cause: **A**. unexplained (odds ratio [OR], 95% confidence intervals [CI]), **B**. toxemia (no events within the 91st–97th and 98th–100th birth weight percentile categories), **C**. antepartum hemorrhage, and **D**. maternal disease (including diabetes). *Moraitis. Birth Weight Percentile and Perinatal Death at Term. Obstet Gynecol 2014.*

Mat height voreggeboorte, lger gewicht Poor inftant's outcome if mother dies (zie Vasak & Visser) Risk assessment is possible at 30-34 wks (Romero PLGF/VEGFR

What is IUGR?

- Fetal growth restriction due to placental insufficiency
- Early IUGR: Abnormal Doppler Umb Art and AC<10th centile (TRUFFLE; PORTO)
- However, that does not cover IUGR with a weight>10th centile
- Late IUGR????

Most late IUGRs are not Small-for-Dates

Late IUGR

- Estimated fetal weight < 2.3rd centile
- AC growth velocity < 10th decile
- Abnormal Cerebro-Placental ratio
- Abnormal Uterine artery PI
- Maternal risk factors

Redistribution and art and venous cord pH





art pH



Altern Million, Public Pr

ven pH

a sub-

100

3.88

F

1-00 1.10 IN US A *.00 7.26 1.00 * 14

200

SGA

AGA

LGA

Morales-Rosello et al, 2014

So, for short and long term survival

- Your birth weight should be around the 90th centile
- And that also holds for weight at 1-2 y of age
- But prevent a rapid weight gain in between 2 and 7 y of age

And know, that...

• The risk of a term IUFD in a nulliparous 36 years old woman is greater than the risk of her having a child with a chromosomal anomaly

Individualize, start thinking





What is IUGR?

- Fetal growth restriction due to placental insufficiency
- Early IUGR: Abnormal Umb ArtDoppler PI and AC<10th centile (TRUFFLE; PORTO)
- However, that does not cover IUGR with a weight>10th centile
- Late IUGR????

Identification of the fetus a risk

- helps to prevent perinatal mortality
- At least in SGA fetuses

DIGITAT study

2 y follow up, 50% of the population Ages and Stage Questionnaire (ASQ and Child Behaviour Checklist (CBCL)

No difference

Van Wijk et al, AJOG 2012, May, 206(5) 406,e1-7

DIGITAT study

- Once SGA has been identified, mortality is low in centers with adequate fetal surveillance
- Lowest morbidity occurred in spontaneous and induced labours at 38 weeks

Term IUGR/SFD

• Assessment techniques:

- Fundal height
- Ultrasound fetal size
- Amniotic fluid
- Cardiotocography
- Fetal movements !!

Identification of the late IUGR fetus

- 1- First trimester risk screening
- 2- 20 and 30 wks uterine artery (+ placenta proteins?)
- 3- 30+ wks in case 1 and/or 2 are abnormal: longitudinal growth assessment
- 4- 30+ wks, if growth <25th centile or falling: .. MCA/Umb artery ratio
 .. FHR acceleration capacity
 Delivery 38 wks, or before (CTG changes)

Cumulative stillbirth risk according to ut artery PI at 19-23 wks



Singh et al, O & G, 2012

IUGR contingency screening

• Combined screening at 11-13 wks

- (history, MAP, UtPI, PLGF, PAPP-A)
- High Risk (20%) Low Risk (80%)
- Aspirin

Combined screening at 22 wks

- (UtPI, Umb aPI, MAP, serum PLGF/sFLT-1)
- High Risk (...%) Moderate Risk (...%) Low Risk (...%)

▲ Low Risk (...%)

• See every 2 wks

• Combined screening at 32 weeks

- (UtPI, MAP, serum PLGF, ultras customised fetal weight)
- High Risk (...%)

• See every 1 wk: fetal growth, MCA/Umb artPI

nothing else

Cerebral palsy in preterm and term SFD* infants; population based study; 334 infants with CP

- Early preterm <34 wks
- Late preterm 34-37 wks

OR 0.8 (0.4-1.4) 1.1 (0.4-3.4)

• Term >37 wks

5.2 (2.7-10.1)

*customised, < 10th centile preterm, < 5th centile term; Jacobsson et al BJOG,2008

Perinatal mortality in relation to birth weight. Nationwide data Norway 1980-1995



Figure 2 Birthweight-specific mortality before (A) and after (B) adjustment to a relative birthweight scale for Pakistani and Norwegian births, Norway 1980–1995

Vangen et al, Int J Epidemiol 2002

Perinatal mortality in relation to birth weight (centiles)



Figure 3. Observed mortality by birthweight in increments of 500 g (dotted lines) and estimated mortality risk using a combination of two linear logistic risk functions (solid lines). (a) Murmansk County; (b) Northern Norway.



Anda et al, Paed & Perin Epidemiol 2011;

Francis et al, Austr NZ J Obstet Gynaecol 2014

Identification Prevention mortal/morb



Late onset IUGR; uterine artery



 Table 4 Concordance between first- and third-trimester abnormal mUtA-PI z-scores

mUtA-PI z-scores	Third trimester	
	normal (<2 SD)	abnormal (≥2 SD)
First trimester, normal (<2 SD)	878	31
First trimester, abnormal (\geq 2SD)	31	5

mUtA-PI, mean uterine artery pulsatility index; SD, standard deviation.



Placenta related disease

Llurba et al, Am J Perinatology, 2013

Longitudinal changes in uterine, umbilical and cerebral Dopplers in late onset SGA



Gestational age (weeks)

Figure 1 Proportion of abnormal Doppler findings at 37 weeks' gestation (\Box) and last examination before delivery (\blacksquare) (*McNemar *P* < 0.05). CPR, cerebroplacental ratio; MCA, middle cerebral artery; PI, pulsatility index; UA, umbilical artery; UtA, uterine artery.

Oros et al, UOG 2010

FHR, STV, ACC and ADC in SFD/IUGR fetuses









Graatsma et al ,JMFNM 2012
Decision algorithm for management of IUGR



Figueras & Gratacos, 2014

Decision algorithm for management of IUGR



Figueras & Gratacos, 2014

OSCAR 3

- Formal assessment of perinatal risk factors at 36 to 38 weeks
- With as the question: 'take it out, or leave it in some what longer'



If in doubt Take The baby out

Neonatal encephalopathy in term infants: independent antenatal risk factors:

	Adjusted OF
- low socio-economic status	3.60
- neurol. diseases in family	2.73
- pregn. after infertility treatment	4.43
- maternal thyroid disease	9.70
- pregn. induced hypertension	6.30
- SFD <3 rd centile	38.23
- SFD 3 rd -9 th centile	4.37
- antenatal haemorrhage	3.57
- viral infections during pregn.	2.97
- post term	13.2

(Badawi et al, 1999)

Term IUGR/SGA

Morbidity is most likely to be due to a combination of malnutrition and fetal hypoxia

Detection rate PE, with or without IUGR/ SGA maternal characteristics, MAP, serum biomarkers

A n= 5% 40 s 47	68 10% 56	with n= 5%	UGR 13 10%	n Ev	AII =99	V	vith IUGR
5% 40 s 47	10% 56	5% 20	10%	E 07		with IUGR n=49	
40 s 47	56	20		370	10%	5%	10%
s 47	-	33	69	22	31	27	41
47						<u> </u>	
	62	69	69	23	34	29	41
38	56	46	62	20	31	27	39
40	60	58	75	19	31	21	38
51	58	67	75	22	35	34	53
50	64	39	62	27	45	24	41
s comb	ination of	markers	1			<u>ı </u>	
53	71	69	69	32	46	32	41
54	68	67	75	35	56	46	63
54	70	50	83	38	52	49	60
					\frown		
56	72	67	92	40	49	49	57
						· · ·	
			7				
	50 53 54 54 56	50 64 s combination of 53 71 53 71 68 54 68 54 56 72 72	50 64 39 s combination of markers 53 71 69 54 68 67 54 50 54 70 50 50 50 56 72 67 67	50 64 39 62 s combination of markers 53 71 69 69 54 68 67 75 54 70 50 83 56 72 67 92 92 92	50 64 39 62 27 s combination of markers 53 71 69 69 32 53 71 69 69 32 54 68 67 75 35 54 70 50 83 38 56 72 67 92 40	50 64 39 62 27 45 s combination of markers 53 71 69 69 32 46 54 68 67 75 35 56 54 70 50 83 38 52 56 72 67 92 40 49	50 64 39 62 27 45 24 s combination of markers 53 71 69 69 32 46 32 53 71 69 69 32 46 32 54 68 67 75 35 56 46 54 70 50 83 38 52 49 56 72 67 92 40 49 49

High mortality/morbidity rate in the very small term babies

- Early identification is essential
 - Customized growth charts
 - Doppler uterine artery?
 - Umbilical/MCA Doppler ratio
 - Serial fetal growth measurements?
 - Measure of autonomic FHR control
 - Fetal movements !
 - Unlikely to be useful: serial AF assessment, FHR monitoring

First trimester markers

- Maternal history
- Maternal weight
- Maternal RR
- Uterine artery PI
- Maternal serum biomarkers

Metabolomics and late onset PE

TABLE 4

Prediction of late-onset preeclampsia based on logistic regression model (expanded dataset^a)

Model	Sensitivity, %	Specificity, %	AUC (95% CI)	P value
Glycerol ^b	40	94.1	0.79 (0.692–0.888)	< .001
Glycerol and weight ^c	40	95	0.796 (0.698–0.894)	< .001
Glycerol, 1-methylhistidine	56.7	95	0.783 (0.667–0.898)	< .001

Respective probability equations based on the regression analyses. AUC, area under curve; Cl, confidence interval.

^a Sixty normal cases added from prior publication¹⁵ (total 30 late-onset preeclampsia and 119 normals); ^b Predictors considered in regression: glycerol, carnitine, and white/non-white race. Prob (preeclampsia) = 0.002*glycerol-2.60; ^c Predictors considered in regression: glycerol, carnitine, and weight. Prob (preeclampsia) = 0.002*glycerol + 0.033*weight; ^d Predictors considered in regression: glycerol, carnitine and 1-methylhistidine. Prob (preeclampsia) = 0.002*glycerol + 0.002*glycerol + 0.002*glycerol + 0.032*methylhistidine-4.04.

Bahado-Singh. Late-onset preeclampsia, metabolomics. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2013.

Remaining challenges

- To identify the small fetus at term
- To identify those small fetuses that are at risk for poor outcome, i.e. to discriminate between the SGA and IUGR fetus
- Realizing that small may be everywhere below the 50th centile

SAFARI study; N of inclusions: 500

• Primary outcome:

- Antepartum intervention for fetal distress
- Perinatal mortality
- pH umb art < 7.05
- Apgarscore $5 \min < 7$
- Admission Nicu
- 8% of cases*, n=40, 4 antenatal items to be tested
- Cerebro-placental (MCA/Umb A) ratio
- PI ut artery
- Head circumference/brain volume
- Index autonomic FHR control

*Digitat study

DIGITAT study



Weight at 1 y of age in relation to death due to cardiovascular disease <65 y



Osmond et al, BMJ 1993

Optimal fetal growth

- Most intrauterine deaths occur in fetuses with a weight in the so-called normal range
- When developing risk scores for IUFD, including maternal age, social class, BMI and fetal weight not only weights below the 10th centile should be included, but a graded more sophisticated centile distribution

Thank you

Term IUGR/ SFD

-Half of unexplained stillbirths occur > 37 wks -50-65% of unexpl stillbirths are (customised) IUGR,

and have a small placenta:



-In >60% of all stillbirths significant placental or cord pathology is present

Froen et al,2001 & 2004;Gardosi et al,2005;Horn et al,2004

CS and neonatal hospitalization in term infants with an estimated fetal weight <3rd centile



Figure 1 Frequency of intrapartum Cesarean delivery (CD), emergency CD due to non-reassuring fetal status (NRFS) and any period of neonatal hospitalization for controls and for small-forgestational-age fetuses classified according to estimated fetal weight centile group. \Box , Controls; \Box , SGA $\geq 3^{rd}$ centile; \Box , SGA $< 3^{rd}$ centile. -132 SGA,(with normal Dopplers)
-60 with EFW <3rd centile
-132 controls

Savchev et al, UOG 2012

Neonatal neurobehavior in term AGA and SGA infants without and with prenatal redistribution

Neurobehavioral scores % abnormal neurobehavior 40 35 6 30 5 25 Percent 4 Score 20 3 15 2 10 1 5 0 0 Motor State organization Motor State organization Motor State organization State organization Motor Middle cerebral artery Anterior cerebral artery Middle cerebral artery Anterior cerebral artery

Oros et al, UOG, 2010

STV and Average Acceleration capacity in controls and IUGR



Lobmaier et al, 2010

FHR, Amniotic fluid and Doppler Umb art, 41 wks



N=367, Weiner et al, AJOG, 1994

Perinatal mortality>28 wks



Birth weight percentile

Early IUGR: easy to identify, difficult to treat

Late IUGR: difficult to identify, easy to treat

Differences in pathogenesis, diagnosis and management

Gerard H.A.Visser University Medical Center Utrecht, The Netherlands

So,

- Easy identification
- Sufficient monitoring tools
- But,..... what next??
- Therapy: Oxygen?

Corticosteroids?

Neuroprevention (MgSO4, Allopurinol)



- Easy identification
- Sufficient monitoring tools
- But,.... what next??
- So, only option is (timing of) delivery (GRIT study*, TRUFFLE study)

Thornton et al Lancet 2004, Walker et al AJOG 2011

Single center cohort study: IUGR,<34 wks, Univ. Med Center Utrecht, n=180

Variables

Gestational age Birth weight parity Sex Maternal disease Corticosteroids FHR pattern Umbilical artery PI **Ductus Venosus** Apgar and pH at birth **Placenta histology** IVH/ROP/NEC/RDS/NICU days Neonatal cranial ultrasound Neurological examination at term age Neurodevelopment at 2 years

outcome

Neonatal mortality Infant mortality Neonatal morbidity Neur.morbidity at 2 years

Torrance et al, UOG, 2010





Brain damage in the early IUGR fetus

- is it due to hypoxaemia,
- to chronic malnutrition
- or to both

All in all,



Prevention of PE with aspirin

- Meta-analysis, 31 RCTs 32.217 patients, PE
 0.90 (95% CI 0.84-0.97); Askie, Lancet 2007
- Metanalysis 27 RCTs 11.348 patients, earlylate start of Aspirin (Bujold et al 2010):
- =< 16 wks RR 0.47 (CI 0.34-0.65) IUGR RR 0.44 (CI 0.30-0.65)
- > 16 wks RR 0.81 ns

IUGR RR 0.98 ns

Especially for severe PE (RR 0.09), preterm birth (RR 0.22)

Pathological or constitutional SGA and stillbirth rate



Ananth & Vintzileos, EHD, 2009; USA1995-2004, n>19 million non-malformed infants



Contribution of the different birt weight centile groups to perinatal mortality



Contribution of the different birt weight centile groups to perinatal mortality



Customized assessment of growth

- Charts based on optimal fetal weight at term
- Taking into account: maternal height
 - weight in early pregnancy
 - ethnic origin
 - parity
- Exclusion of factors that effect optimal growth (e.g. smoking)

(Gardosi et al, 2005)


Definition: SGA with abnormal Doppler umbilical artery



Abormal Dopplers in umbilical artery only occur in case of a 30 to 50 % reduction in placental capacity/function

Perinatal mortality >28 wks, Nlds 2000-2008

After correction for possible IUD < 28 weeks; Vasak et al, unpublished data



Beyond Birth Weight

- The Dutch Experience:
- The Dutch Famine
- Optimal fetal growth

Outcome after the Dutch Hunger Winter



To keep the stove burning.....





7 famine exposure groups



Birth weight and placental weight according to famine exposure



Exposure

	Early	Mid	Late
Young adult			
 cong. neural def. 	+		
• Jobese	+		
40-60 years			
brain anomalies	+		
 schizophrenia 	+		
 antisocial person.dis 	+		
 major affective disorder 			+
 depressive symptoms 	+	+	
 ↓ perceived mental health 	+	+	
• 우 obese	+		+
 atherogenic lipid profile 	+ (?)		
• BP ↑ low protein %			+
 BP ↑ after stress 	+		
 coronary heart disease 	+		
 impaired glucose tolerance 	+	+	+(?)
 micro albuminuria 		+	

Or: why is human fetal growth is restrained below optimal for fetal survival?

Because the evolution of the large head, and changes in pelvic dimensions and orientation in association with bipedalism

constitute a major challenge for vaginal delivery*

*Trevathan et al, Evolutionary Medicine 189, 1999

Optimal timing of delivery of early IUGR

First occurrence of abnormal FHR or Ductus venosus patterns

TRUFFLE study, Lees et al, Lancet 2015

Redistribution and art and venous cord pH





art pH



Altern Million, Public Pl

ven pH

a sub-

100

3.88

F

1-00 1.10 IN US A *.00 7.26 1.00 * 14

200

SGA

AGA

LGA

Morales-Rosello et al, 2014

IUGR and/or low birth weight

low birth weight



SGA customized versus population

"Better identification of fetuses at risk of stillbirth and neonatal death, probably due to improved identification of fetal growth restriction"

(Clausson et al, BJOG 2001; 108: 830-834)

Intergrowth-21: birth weight and ultrasound sizes for age



Villar et al and Papageorghiou et al, Lancet 2014

Discussion.....

We believe these standards, as opposed to the several locally produced references in use worldwide,⁴ have the potential to improve pregnancy outcomes,⁴⁴ not least because at present <u>the diagnosis of fetal growth</u> restriction is made at different levels of care, even within the same regions or countries, using different fetal growth charts and cutoff points—ie, fetuses can be classified as growth restricted in one part of a city or country and of healthy size in another. This leads to inaccuracy in diagnosis and ultimately unnecessary, or an absence of, appropriate interventions. Additionally,

use of fetal growth standards derived from a healthy population reduces the risk of underdiagnosing <u>fetal</u> growth restriction, which can occur when the fetus is monitored against references that include high-risk mothers (panel).

..but may well increase the risk of overdiagnosing.....

Papageorghiou et al, Lancet 2014

Discussion.....

We believe these standards, as opposed to the several locally produced references in use worldwide,⁴ have the

Can we diagnose fetal growth restriction from ultrasound fetal size charts? Does the 10-90th centile range indicates normality?

growth restriction, which can occur when the fetus is monitored against references that include high-risk mothers (panel).

Papageorghiou et al, Lancet 2014

Birth weight distribution



Persson et al. Diab Care 2011;34:1145-1149

University Medical Center, Utrecht, the NL

Late IUGR/SFD

 We do not know how to distinguish normal from abnormal fetal growth and are incapable of identifying the majority of fetuses at risk of dying in utero



So, for short and long term survival

- Your birth weight should be around the 90th centile
- And that also holds for weight at 1-2 y of age

Or: why is human fetal growth restrained below optimal for fetal survival?



Perinatal mortality >28 wks, Nlds 2000-2008



Smoking, stillbirth and BW centiles;

OR; multivariable analysis (Moriatis et al, 2014)